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The foundations of modern information theory were laid down by Hartley (1928) although it was to be twenty years before the first significant developments in the field were made with the publication of Claude Shannon’s seminal paper on a mathematical theory of communication (Shannon, 1948). This work inspired a wave of interest throughout the 1950s in applying information-theoretic models to a number of fields ranging from psychology (e.g., Attneave, 1959) to computational linguistics (eg., Shannon, 1951). It is interesting to note that the new methods were applied to music as early as 1955 (see Cohen, 1962). Of particular relevance to music scholars was that portion of the theory that pertains to discrete noiseless systems and, in particular, the representation of such systems as stochastic Markov sources, the use of n-grams to estimate the statistical structure of the source and the development of entropy as a quantitative measure of the uncertainty of the source. Inspired perhaps by the use of entropy to estimate the fundamental uncertainty of printed English (Shannon, 1948, 1951), researchers used information-theoretic concepts and methods throughout the 1950s and 60s both to analyse music (Cohen, 1962; Meyer, 1957) and to generate new compositions (e.g., Brooks Jr., Hopkins, Neumann & Wright, 1957; Hiller & Isaacson, 1959; Pinkerton, 1956). In this review, we focus on the use of information-theoretic methods in quantitative analyses of music referring the reader to existing reviews of synthetic and compositional applications (Ames, 1987, 1989; Cohen, 1962; Hiller, 1970).

In one of the first such studies, Pinkerton (1956) computed a monogram distribution of diatonic scale degrees in a corpus of 39 monodic nursery rhymes yielding a redundancy estimate of 9%. Following a similar approach, Youngblood (1958) examined the entropy of two different musical styles: first, 20 songs in a major key from the Romantic period (composed by Schubert, Mendelssohn and Schumann); and second, a corpus of Gregorian chant. Zeroth- and first-order distributions of chromatic scale degrees were computed from these corpora; the latter exhibited much higher redundancy than the former indicating that the pitch of a note is highly constrained by knowing the pitch of the previous note. Furthermore, while redundancy differed little between the three Romantic composers, the overall redundancy of this corpus was lower than that of the Gregorian chant.

More detailed information-theoretic studies of musical style were conducted under the supervision of Lejaren Hiller at the University of Illinois. Hiller & Bean (1966), for example, examined four sonatas composed by Mozart, Beethoven, Berg and Hindemith respectively. Each sonata was segmented analytically and monogram distributions of chromatic pitch classes were computed for each segment. The results indicated that average entropy increases (and redundancy decreases) from the Mozart to the Beethoven example, from the Beethoven to the Hindemith
example and from the Hindemith to the Berg. Other stylistic differences emerged from more
detailed comparisons of the entropy and redundancy figures for individual segments. Hiller &
Fuller (1967) extended this approach in an analysis of Webern’s symphony (Op. 21) in two di-
rections: first, they computed first- and second- as well as zeroth-order entropy estimates (notes
occurring simultaneously were flattened in order of pitch height); and second, they examined
intervallic and rhythmic representations as well as pitch. The symphony was divided into three
sections (exposition, development and recapitulation) each of which was examined separately.
The authors were able to relate differences in entropy and redundancy between the three sec-
tions to differences in structural complexity of the musical features examined. However, the
study also highlighted the effects of sample size on the reliability of estimated probabilities as
well as the effects of alphabet size on the generality of the estimates.

These early studies may be criticised on a number of grounds, the first of which relates to
the manner in which probabilities are estimated from the samples of music (Cohen, 1962). It is
generally assumed that a distribution estimated from a sample of music constitutes an accurate
reflection of a listener's perception of the sample. However a listener's perception (e.g., of the
first note in the sample) cannot be influenced by music she has not yet heard (e.g., the last note
in the sample) and her state of knowledge and expectation will change dynamically as each note
in the music is experienced (Meyer, 1957). In order to address concerns such as these, Coons &
Kraehenbuehl developed a system of calculating dynamic measures of information (predictive
failure) in a sequence (Coons & Kraehenbuehl, 1958; Kraehenbuehl & Coons, 1959). However, it
remains unclear whether the method could be computationally implemented and its application
generalised beyond the simple examples given. Furthermore, like the studies reviewed above,
the method fails to reflect the fact that a listener hears a piece of music in the context of extensive
experience of listening to other pieces of music (Cohen, 1962).

A second criticism of these early studies is that they are generally limited to low fixed-order
estimates of probability and therefore do not take full advantage of the statistical structure of
music. A final criticism relates to the representation of music (Cohen, 1962). With the exception
of Hiller & Fuller (1967), all of these studies focused exclusively on simple representations of
pitch ignoring other features or dimensions of the musical surface and interactions between
these dimensions. Even Hiller & Fuller (1967) had to consider each dimension separately since
they had no way of combining information derived from different features.

The use of information-theoretic concepts and methods in psychology lost favour during
the so-called “cognitive revolution” of the late 1950s and early 1960s that saw the end of be-
haviourism and the birth of artificial intelligence and cognitive science (Miller, 2003). This loss
of favour was based partly on objective inadequacies of Markov chains as models of psycholog-
ical representations and of language in particular (Chomsky, 1957). However, it seems likely
that it was also due, in part, to an arbitrary association of information-theoretic analysis with
behaviourism and the fact that corpus size and the complexity of statistical analyses were neces-
sarily limited by the processing power of the computers available. Nonetheless, the knowledge
engineering approach to examining mental representations and processes became the dominant
paradigm in cognitive science until the 1980s when a resurgence of interest in connectionist
modelling (Rumelhart & McClelland, 1986) stimulated a renewed emphasis on learning and the
statistical structure of the environment.

These trends in cognitive-scientific research had a knock-on effect in music research. Con-
nectionist models of musical structure and music perception began to be examined in the late
1980s (Bharucha, 1987; Desain & Honing, 1989; Todd, 1988). However, with a handful of iso-
lated exceptions (e.g., Baffioni, Guerra & Lalli, 1984; Coffman, 1992; Knopoff & Hutchinson,
1981, 1983; Snyder, 1990), it was not until the mid 1990s that information-theoretic methods
and statistical analyses again began to be applied to music (Conklin & Witten, 1995; Dubnov, Assayag & El-Yaniv, 1998; Hall & Smith, 1996; Ponsford, Wiggins & Mellish, 1999; Reis, 1999; Triviño-Rodriguez & Morales-Bueno, 2001). Instrumental in this regard was the fact that many of the limitations of the early efforts were addressed by Darrell Conklin’s development of sophisticated statistical models of musical structure (Conklin, 1990; Conklin & Cleary, 1988; Conklin & Witten, 1995).

In particular, the predictive systems developed by Conklin consist of a long-term component that is derived from a large corpus of music and a short-term component that is constructed dynamically for each musical work: the estimated probability of a given event at a given point in the work reflects the combined action of these two models. Furthermore, each model uses n-grams of a number of different orders up to a global bound in computing its probability estimates. In more recent work, the maximum order is allowed to vary depending on the context (Pearce & Wiggins, 2004). Finally, the system can compute distinct probability distributions for different features or dimensions of the musical surface, weight them according to their relative entropy and combine them in arriving at a final probability estimate in a given context. Various kinds of interaction between different features can be explicitly represented and exploited in estimating probabilities.
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